	Far	milies Monthly Dataset				***																		
Aug-16						tative mea		-	Increase		Key to dire		avel: Decrease											
					Positive	Similar	Negative	l	10% or		Similar	⇒	10% or less	Ŷ								Derived fro		
Ref	Area	Description	Data owner	Data accountability	Aug 15	Sep 15	Oct 15	Nov 15	Dec 15	Jan 16	Feb 16	Mar 16	Apr 16	May 16	Jun 16	Jul 16	Aug-16	% change from Jul 16	% change from Aug 15	12 month average	12-mnth max value	SN	National	August commentary
M1		Number of contacts received (includes contacts that become referrals)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	1160	1172	1009	1139	1053	1154	1013	1179	1062	1411	1256	1395	1377	⇒ (1)	1 9	1185	1411	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	
M2		Number of new referrals of Children In Need (CiN)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	303	352	306	341	302	346	326	306	267	324	334	373	300	4 (20)	⇒ (1)	323	373	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	
M2-NI		Number of new referrals of Children in Need (CiN) rate per 10,000 (0-17 year olds)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	63	74	64	71	63	72	68	64	56	68	70	78	63	4 (19)	⇒ 0	67	78	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	
МЗ		Percentage of all contacts that become new referrals of Children In Need (CiN)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	26%	30%	30%	30%	29%	30%	32%	26%	25%	23%	27%	27%	22%	4 (18)	4 (16)	28%	32%	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	
M6-QL		Percentage of referrals which are re-referrals within one year of a closure assessment	ane White	Catherine Parkin	17%	20%	19%	29%	23%	21%	16%	22%	20%	26%	24%	20%	21%	⇒ 3	a 25	22%	29%	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	
M6-QL (val)		Count of referrals which are re- referrals within one year of a closure assessment	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	50	69	57	98	69	72	51	67	53	85	79	75	62	(17)	1 24	70	98	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	
M4		Number of new referrals of children aged 13+ where child sexual exploitation was a factor	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	0	6	7	10	5	7	6	5	6	6	4	3	3	➡ 0	1 2,900	6	10	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	
M8-QL		Percentage of referrals dealt with by MASH where time from referral received / recorded to	1	Catherine Parkin	83%	82%	75%	83%	61%	72%	71%	80%	79%	73%	79%	75%	79%	⇒ 5	⇒ -5	76%	83%	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	
		Number of children receiving Universal Help services who are stepped up for Children In Need (CiN) assessment	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	22	35	14	32	14	17	28	22	29	41	36	28	37	2 32	∲ 68	28	41	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	

Ref	Area	Description	Data owner	Data accountability	Aug 15	Sep 15	Oct 15	Nov 15	Dec 15	Jan 16	Feb 16	Mar 16	Apr 16	May 16	Jun 16	Jul 16	Aug-16	% change from Jul 16	% change from Aug 15	12 month average	12-mnth max value	SN	National	August commentary
EH1		Number of children at end of period with Universal Help Plans, or undergoing Universal Help Assessments	Jo Cassey	Jason Murphy	525	522	493	495	516	540	538	470	451	390	340	287	Old measure	n/a	n/a	458	540	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	The reduction is consistent with the changes in the Families Matter (FM) model, which require that a family first consent to work with the service; the numbers do now reflect the UHAs undertaken in other services such as Sure Start - a new corporate target has been agreed which will combine these with Housing and Health completions, subject. However our methodology only counts new UHAs opened post referrals, not those embedded within referrals.
EH1a		Number of Universal Help Assessments (UHAs) started in the month	Jo Cassey	Jason Murphy	New measure from Aug 16	New measure from Aug 16cv	77	57	58	24	21	4 (13)	n/a	47	77	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	The reduction is consistent with the changes in the Families Matter (FM) model, which require that a family first consent to work with the service; the numbers do now reflect the UHAs undertaken in other services such as Sure Start - a new corporate target has been agreed which will combine these with Housing and Health completions, subject. However our methodology only counts new UHAs opened post referrals, not those embedded within referrals.						
EH1b		Number of Universal Help Plans (UHPs) opened in the month (includes UPHs completed and still open at end of period)	Jo Cassey	Jason Murphy	New measure from Aug 16	367	368	297	170	121	(29)	n/a	265	368	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	The reduction is consistent with the changes in the Families Matter (FM) model, which require that a family first consent to work with the service; the numbers do now reflect the UHAs undertaken in other services such as Sure Start - a new corporate target has been agreed which will combine these with Housing and Health completions, subject. However our methodology only counts new UHAs opened post referrals, not those embedded within referrals.							
EH2		Number of Children In Need (CiN) at end of period (all open cases, excluding UHPs, UHAs, CPP and LAC)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	2015	2044	2037	2055	2122	2148	2149	2144	2161	1913	1760	1768	1594	(10)	(21)	1991	2161	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	
EH5-QL		Number of children open to the authority who have been missing at any point in the period	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	12	11	18	25	26	22	23	37	41	50	52	47	40	4 (15)	233	33	52	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	
ЕНЗ		Number of Single Assessments completed	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	227	200	258	186	185	247	195	230	282	279	189	143	252	16	11	221	282	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	
EH4-QL		Percentage of Single Assessments (SA) completed in 45 working days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	76%	79%	75%	74%	65%	60%	64%	60%	52%	62%	80%	72%	68%	⇒ -6) -11	67%	80%	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	
EH4 (val)		Number of Single Assessments (SA) completed in 45 working days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	173	158	193	137	120	147	124	137	148	172	151	103	171	66	⇒ (1)	147	193	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	
CP1		Number of Section 47 (S47) enquiries started	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	99	137	131	104	86	120	98	93	105	139	126	126	101	4 (20)	⇒ 2	114	139	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	
CP1-NI		Section 47 (S47) enquiries rate per 10,000 children	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	21	29	27	22	18	25	20	19	22	29	26	26	21	4 (19)	2	24	29	15	12	

Ref	Area	Description	Data owner	Data accountability	Aug 15	Sep 15	Oct 15	Nov 15	Dec 15	Jan 16	Feb 16	Mar 16	Apr 16	May 16	Jun 16	Jul 16	Aug-16	% change from Jul 16	% change from Aug 15	12 month average	12-mnth max value	SN	National	August commentary
CP2	Ini Co tra	umber of children subject to itial Child Protection onferences (ICPCs), including ansfer-Ins, excluding temporary egistrations	Phil Bullingham	Jacqui Westbury	25	26	46	31	31	54	35	48	34	46	56	57	45	(21)	^ 80	42	57	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	This figure is higher than we would have liked for August (and almost double that for August 2015) - however this will be, in part, linked to the work being undertaken within the CiN team in processing through work.
CPA2b	NI B	umber of transfer-ins	Phil Bullingham	Jacqui Westbury													New measures from Sep 2016	n/a	n/a		0	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	
CP2-NI		ate per 10,000 Initial Child rotection Conferences (ICPCs)	Phil Bullingham	Jacqui Westbury	5	5	10	6	6	11	7	10	7	10	12	12	9	(24)	72	9	12	7	5	As above (CP2)
CP3-QL (val)	Ini Co he	umber of children subject to itial Child Protection onferences (ICPCs) which were eld within timescales (excludes ransfer-ins)	Phil Bullingham	lacqui Westbury	21	13	43	21	27	42	15	37	16	46	33	35	26	- 26	1 24	30	46	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	This figure is different when calculated manually - manual data suggests 34 out of 46 children were on time (therefore 12 were out of time) - this will need to be explored with the Data Team. The reason for the 12 being out of time was due to delays in getting a request form in, a deliberate delay to ensure the right attendance and a process issue re-transfer in conferences which is being addressed by MASH Team Manager.
CP3-QL	Pr he	ercentage of Initial Child rotection Conferences (ICPCs) eld within timescales (based on punt of children)	Phil Bullingham	Jacqui Westbury	84%	50%	93%	68%	87%	78%	43%	77%	53%	100%	59%	61%	58%	⇒ -6	 -31	69%	100%	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	As above for CP3 - QL
CP4	Pr re:	ercentage of Initial Child rotection Conferences (ICPCs) esulting in a Child Protection lan (based on count of children)	əhil Bullingham	acqui Westbury	72%	100%	83%	87%	87%	100%	91%	90%	60%	67%	75%	95%	73%	(23)	⇒ 1	84%	100%	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	This figure is 8% lower than we would expect - and a piece of work will be undertaken to explore if there are any themes as to why this number is lower than expected and if the right cases are being brought to conference.
СР9	Re Co	umber of children subject to eview Child Protection onferences (RCPCs) in the onth	Phil Bullingham	Jacqui Westbury	52	130	78	100	102	86	93	113	100	91	64	105	59	(44)	13	93	130	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	As expected, this is a lower number than in previous months due to it being school holidays. This number will be higher for September.
CP5-QL	Pr ch	ercentage of new Child rotection Plans (CPP) where nild had previously been subject f a CPP at any time	Phil Bullingham	Jacqui Westbury	8%	12%	13%	17%	35%	16%	47%	18%	16%	6%	18%	34%	3%	(91)	4 (63)	20%	47%	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	This figure is much better than previous months and is what we would like to achieve more frequently.
CP5-QL (val)	Pla pr	umber of new Child Protection lans (CPP) where child had reviously been subject of a CPP t any time	Phil Bullingham	Jacqui Westbury	2	4	5	5	11	9	16	9	3	2	8	18	1	\$ (94)	\$ (50)	8	18	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	As above for CP5 - QL
СР6В	Pr m	umber of children with a Child rotection Plan at the end of the nonth, excluding temporary egistrations	Jane White	Jacqui Westbury	351	336	347	335	315	346	344	337	310	306	317	335	360	➡ 7	3	332	360	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	This number has raised significantly from the month of July - and is due in part to the data definition having changed, which has added 15 children to the list.
CP6B-NI		hild Protection Plan (CPP) rate er 10,000	Jane White	Jacqui Westbury	73	70	72	70	66	72	72	70	65	64	66	70	75	⇒ 7	⇒ 2	69	75	55	43	
CP7	Pr te	umber of ceasing Child rotection Plans, excluding emporary registrations	Jane White	Jacqui Westbury	20	49	29	40	43	24	38	52	43	39	28	37	14	↓ (62)	4 (30)	36	52	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	This figure is as expected due to the lower number of RCPCs being held in August. This number should increase in September.
CP8-QL	a (ercentage of children subject to Child Protection Plan seen in ne last 15 working days.	Jane White	Lisa Green	79%	64%	61%	52%	59%	77%	85%	73%	72%	68%	76%	68%	64%	⇒ -6	-19	68%	85%	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	
LAC1			Jane White	Lissa-Marie Minnis	636	626	614	613	606	605	605	591	592 Pa	609 ge 3 of 5	611	612	603	⇒ (1)	⇒ (5)	607	626	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	There are 9 less children in care this month, however 18 ceased to be LAC (9 adoptions, 1 SGO and 8 others) whilst there were 8 new admissions. The number of LAC is stable and whilst heading in the right direction, the LAC reduction plan will take several months to have an impact.

Ref	Area	Description	Data wner	Data bility	Aug 15	Sep 15	Oct 15	Nov 15	Dec 15	Jan 16	Feb 16	Mar 16	Apr 16	May 16	Jun 16	Jul 16	Aug-16	% change from Jul 16	% change from Aug	12 month average	12-mnth max value	SN	National	August commentary
			5	accounta															15	uveruge	mux vuiue			
LAC1-NI	1 1	Looked after Children rate per 10,000	Jane White	Lissa-Marie Minnis	133	131	128	128	127	126	126	123	124	127	128	128	126	⇒ (2)	⇒ (5)	127	131	75	60	As Above
LAC7-QL		Percentage of Looked after Children visited within timescales	Jane White	Lissa-Marie Minnis	75%	68%	73%	61%	70%	66%	71%	59%	77%	75%	73%	66%	66%	⇒ 0	↓ -12	69%	77%	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	Until there is a team breakdown it is difficult to ascertain where the performance is dipping. The LAC team is currently achieving 80% in time visits, Pathways is currently achieving 46%.
LAC10-QL		Number of Looked after Children with an authorised CLA Plan	Jane White	Lissa-Marie Minnis	511	508	503	499	502	509	524	513	518	537	549	548	543	⇒ -1	⇒ 6	521	549	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	There is no change from last which is positive however with the focus on service improvement the expectation is for the number of authorised plans to increase.
LAC10 (%)		Percentage of Looked after Children with an authorised CLA plan	Jane White	Lissa-Marie Minnis	80%	81%	82%	81%	83%	84%	87%	87%	88%	88%	90%	90%	90%	⇒ 1	12	86%	90%	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	As above
LAC11-QL		Number of Looked after Children with an authorised Pathway Plan	Jane White	Lissa-Marie Minnis	156	152	148	151	151	152	156	157	159	157	156	155	152	⇒ -2	⇒ -3	154	159	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	There are currently significant performance issues within the Pathways team. There is a drive to improve performance by increase service manager monitoring.
LAC11-QL (%)	Percentage of Looked after Children with an authorised Pathway Plan	Jane White	Lissa-Marie Minnis	New measure from Feb 16	63%	64%	65%	63%	62%	61%	60%	→ -2	n/a	63%	65%	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	As above					
LAC2		Number of new Looked after Children (episodes)	Jane White	Lissa-Marie Minnis	16	13	9	9	6	14	16	16	24	16	13	11	8	4 (27)	↓ (50)	13	24	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	5 children were accommodated under an ICO and 3 under s20. This is a significantly lower number than previous months.
LAC3		Number of ceasing Looked after Children (episodes)	Jane White	Lissa-Marie Minnis	12	14	18	11	9	14	10	23	18	9	10	14	18	^ 29	6 50	14	23	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	The work streams in regards to reducing LAC numbers continue and the effect of this will take several months to impact on LAC numbers, however the drive for adoptions in reflective this month. 5 young people left care due to turning 18 and one child was reunited home.
LAC6 (val)	LAC	Number of adoptions (E11, E12)	Jane White	Lissa-Marie Minnis	4	3	5	5	3	4	1	13	5	2	3	5	9	1 80	1 25	5	13	3	444	There are been a targeted focus on ensuring adoption application are made within a timely manner and this is reflective in the number of adoptions achieved this month.
LAC6 (%)		Percentage of adoptions (E11, E12)		Lissa- Marie	33%	21%	28%	45%	33%	29%	10%	57%	28%	22%	30%	36%	50%	1 39	1 50	32%	57%	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	As above
LAC12 (val)		Number of Special Guardianship Orders (SGOs) (E43, E44)	Jane White	Lissa-Marie Minnis	4	3	5	2	0	2	0	1	2	3	2	0	1	n/a	↓ (75)	2	5	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	This is lower than expected. The SGO work stream is proving to be challenging due to carers reluctancy to apply for SGOs. Cases are monitored and followed up in panels and on individual basis.
LAC12 (%)		Percentage of Special Guardianship Orders (SGOs) (E43, E44)		Lissa- Marie	33%	21%	28%	18%	0%	14%	0%	4%	11%	33%	20%	0%	6%	n/a	4 (82)	13%	33%	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	As above (LAC12 (Val))
LAC9 (val)		Number of IFA placements		Lissa-Marie l Minnis	189	184	188	184	181	183	176	169	181	175	163	162	156	⇒ (4)	(17)	175	188	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	The use of IFA placement remains steady and heading in the right direction despite the increased LAC numbers. However the use of residential placements has increased due to children's complexity. All residential placements are currently being reviewed.

Ref	Area	Description		Data accountability	Aug 15	Sep 15	Oct 15	Nov 15	Dec 15	Jan 16	Feb 16	Mar 16	Apr 16	May 16	Jun 16	Jul 16	Aug-16	% change from Jul 16			12-mnth max value	SN	National	August commentary
LAC9		IFA placements as a percentage of all looked after children	Jane White	Lissa- Marie	30%	29%	31%	30%	30%	30%	29%	29%	31%	29%	27%	26%	26%	⇒ 0	↓ (13)	29%	31%	Local ind'ctr	Local ind'ctr	As above
LAC13		Number of unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children looked after	Jane White	Lissa-Marie Minnis	New measure from Jun 16			New measure from Jun 16				New measure from Jun 16			4	6	5	(17)	n/a	n/a	6	1	219	Our number of UASC is low compared to the national average. Due to our high LAC numbers we have not been able to accept any UASC via the National Dispersal scheme.